

Ronald Report

Contributed by
 Tuesday, 06 June 2006
 Last Updated Thursday, 07 September 2006

Criminal acts Committed and Thousands Wasted in Tax Payers' Money With Full Government Approval Forthcoming issues -

I should like to start by inviting you to watch a short video presentation I recently made. You shall observe my current residence, Hillside Court, is in a dastardly state of repair. This building houses 48 self-contained apartments, and is overall managed by an organisation which enjoys charitable status – the Wales and West Housing Association. The video can be viewed here: <http://www.crooked-lawyers.net/media/wwha.first.htm>

The video lays before you the extent of the structural damage to Hillside Court. This damage is being denied by the Wales and West Housing Association, numerous courts, solicitors and government departments. For eleven years, at great cost to tax payers, the evidence has been suppressed. Furthermore, I have been maliciously persecuted for over eighteen years in each of my legal cases.

Glamorgan Aluminium issue at the tax payers' expense: 1990 to 1999

In 1989 I had been subcontracting to a company called Turner Aluminium which was taken over by the directors of Glamorgan Aluminium consequently being named Frametech. I started to subcontract to both; however, instead of fortnightly payment intervals they would pay after 100-day intervals, provided invoices were submitted every 90-days.

Riley Mumford & Rausa

Frametech went into receivership owing me fourteen thousand pounds. Glamorgan Aluminium refused to pay me twenty two thousand pounds for work done forcing me to seek the services of Riley Mumford & Rausa, Cardiff, where I saw a solicitor by the name of Roger Riley. He instructed me to quit working in order to obtain Legal Aid. Legal Aid forms were signed severally to pursue both Frametech and Glamorgan Aluminium. I owed the Allied Irish bank an overdraft sixteen thousand pounds. In addition to all of this, neither Frametech nor Glamorgan Aluminium paid any of my stopped Income Tax to Her Majesty's Inland Revenue Service; however following investigations by the tax office, instigated at my request, approximately one fourth of the tax owed by Glamorgan Aluminium was paid.

My business partner pulled out of our business towards the end of 1989 requiring me to employ Riley Mumford & Rausa to have the business overdraft fully secured against my property.

In 1983 unbeknownst to me the Inland Revenue took me to court for unpaid tax. On my property was placed a charge which was accruing interest. After learning of the charge I immediately sought the services of Riley Mumford & Rausa paying the then senior partner Roger Riley the tax owed, including in excess of £500 cash, to have the charge removed. During a much later appointment with solicitor Peter Beckerley, also of Riley Mumford & Rausa, concerning the Glamorgan Aluminium issue, I was informed something had come up; their insurers would sort it out and furthermore my paperwork relating to the removed charge was lost. A few weeks later at another appointment I was requested by Peter Beckerley to accompany him to the office of Sal Rausa.

There I was shouted at, sworn at and told I would be jailed and the key thrown away; because, according to them, I had never informed them about the outstanding Inland Revenue charge on my property. On reminding Sal Rausa that his firm was paid to handle the issue he verbally attacked me and threw me out of his office. In all fairness I can say I was utterly stunned and, having left their offices, promptly returned after recovering, with a view to taking possession of my files. The receptionist, prevaricating, explained that nobody was in the office. Within the following week and a half I called in person to Riley Mumford & Rausa's office only to be repeatedly told nobody was there.

After this I received a telephone call from Roger Riley asking me what was going on? A meeting was subsequently booked for about 15:30 the following afternoon. Roger Riley did not materialise. A fortnight later I learned he had been arrested on the day of the appointment for fraud and deception. My files were unobtainable. Riley Mumford & Rausa ignored all my communications, continuing to hold my files hostage. In dire frustration I contacted bodies including the Legal Aid Board (later renamed Legal Services Commission), the Solicitors' Complaints Bureau (later renamed Office of Supervision of Solicitors), the local police, and many more. Nobody wanted to help.

Corruption and cover up:

In order to transfer the business overdraft from my partner's property to my own I had to swear an affidavit (1989) with Riley Mumford & Rausa solicitors stating no charges were placed against my property. This turned out to be untrue because, unbeknownst to me, Riley Mumford & Rausa had not applied to have the Inland Revenue charge removed, as instructed and paid to do. At the time (1983) I was assured that task had been completed. Can you spot the fraud?

On the strength of my affidavit the Allied Irish Bank was instructed by Riley Mumford & Rausa that it was safe to secure my overdraft facility against my property. By law, it was not.

Although applied to be removed this charge had to remain in place for a probationary five years, preventing Riley Mumford & Rausa at that point (1989) instructing the Allied Irish Bank to secure the overdraft facility against my property. This was why solicitor Peter Beckerley had stated their insurance company would have to deal with this illegality, and therefore obviously what caused solicitor Sal Rausa to erupt in such a vitriolic and vituperous way.

Solicitor Richard Fischer (Leighton Loughor, Cardiff)

In this time (1991) I engaged the services of solicitor Richard Fischer of Leighton Loughor, Cardiff. It took him three months to obtain Legal Aid for me, during which time my home was unlawfully repossessed by the County Court because I had no means of repaying the overdraft with the Allied Irish Bank. Richard Fischer did absolutely nothing of benefit for me - the usual story. I left Leighton Loughor after 12 months, later learning the firm was shut down owing to their other fraudulent activities.

Solicitors Keith Thomas & Partners

Next (1992) I engaged the services of solicitors Keith Thomas & Partners, of Pontypridd. As a result of the ineptitude of their employee, solicitor Geoff Kitchen, I lost access to my son. Their other employee, solicitor Nigel Jones, adopted my Glamorgan Aluminium case. He magically obtained the Glamorgan Aluminium file from Riley Mumford & Rausa. After 9 months of wasted time he jumped ship to establish his own firm, Nigel Jones & Co, Cardiff. Naturally the Glamorgan Aluminium file stayed with Keith Thomas & Partners. A solicitor's clerk was appointed in Nigel Jones's absence, albeit I had been led to believe he was more than a clerk. Another 9 months of wasted time. Discovering nothing had been done by this clerk I decided to resume the employment of Nigel Jones at his new firm.

Solicitor Nigel Jones (Nigel Jones & Co, Cardiff)

1993. Nigel Jones, in keeping with the tradition of all my previous solicitors, I had a hard time in trying to obtain my files from previous firms. Over several months we went through all documentation (at this stage provided by me) causing him to suggest we obtain Legal Aid certificates to conduct investigations into the Allied Irish Bank, and the practices of previous solicitors. He kept up the pretence of investigating for three years; turned a witness against me on an interview and carried on receiving Legal Aid funding. Following on from many appointments where it was becoming clear to me that Nigel Jones did not have my interests at heart, I felt the only option left was to sack him. How much money do five Legal Aid certificates cost the tax payer? He drew Legal Aid, promised the stars, and provided nothing.

Solicitor Richard Payne (Evans & Payne, then Richard Payne & Co, Cardiff)

Next up (1996) was solicitor Richard Payne, then of Evans & Payne, Cardiff, who requested all paperwork in my own possession because he has having a hard time obtaining my files from previous firms. His initial efficient performance included taking over the Legal Aid certificate for negligence against my previous solicitors. His attitude changed when he contacted my previous solicitors. The senior partner, James Evans, quit the company to work from home and it was at this time that Richard Payne continually asked was I "an upright person or on the square"? Coincidentally, around this time Nigel Jones's picture was appearing on Crimewatch for carrying out six sexual assaults on estate agents.

The company then became Richard Payne & Co. It took him three years to obtain a hearing date against Glamorgan Aluminium, then he did not comply with the barrister's request of pretrial review. He sent papers to the barrister only one month before the date of hearing. This barrister then stated he had a "gut feeling" and caused my Legal Aid to be stopped the day before the hearing. Eight favourable barrister's reports and nine years of pre hearing theatrics terminated by the "gut feeling" of a barrister!

During this same time solicitor Richard Payne stopped the Legal Aid certificate for negligence against my former solicitors and sent the closure notice to my old address whilst corresponding with me at my new address.

Nine years of solicitors doing nothing but using the legal aid system as a cash machine and none of my issues ever resolved no matter what the evidence. Housing disrepair issue against Wales and West Housing Association Please Note - The references and page numbers mentioned below pertain to yet another fresh application (at the tax payers' expense) concerning this structural damage. Filed under claim number 6CF03573 at the Cardiff County Court on 27th April 2006. for a high court hearing. [LINK TO DOCUMENT] Norwood Court

On account of my disabilities (spinal problems &c.) I was allocated an apartment at Norwood Court in 1993 by the Wales and West Housing Association. The uppermost floor was dedicated to persons with severely impoverished states of mind. Several of those residents regularly defecated and urinated in the building hallways. From the beginning of my stay

at Norwood Court these horrendous conditions were raised to the attention of the Wales and West Housing Association in the romantic hope of proper attendance to the problems. In addition to those residents who were decorating the hallways with faecal and urinal matter, the heating system was ineffective, contributing to widespread damp within the property.

Solicitor Nigel Jones

Following the cavalier response by the Wales and West Housing Association towards my complaints, which were corroborated by many other residents in the building, the services of solicitor Nigel Jones, formerly of Nigel Jones & Co, Cardiff, were sought. Nigel Jones immediately responded to the request for his engagement. His first course of action was to gather a plethora of photographic evidence, in addition to interviewing many of the residents. Sadly Nigel Jones failed to complete the task he undertook, having waited many months before bothering to mention that Legal Aid was unobtainable for this matter.

It is likely Nigel Jones had been in receipt of Legal Aid throughout this time. Arising from my growing suspicions of this and other issues I contacted the Legal Services Commission (then known as the Legal Aid Board). My attempts to confirm this have been stonewalled by the Legal Services Commission and others. One particular meeting with the Legal Services Commission at Marland House, Cardiff, a Mr Glyn Rice and a supervisor not only refused to supply my Legal Aid certificate but threatened me with eviction from the building should I refuse to leave. Mr Glyn Rice promised, at that meeting, to send the Legal Aid certificates by post. They never arrived. My subsequent attempts to obtain them have been ignored. Hammond Way In or around 1995/1996, owing to my developing complaints against the Wales and West Housing Association, I was offered the option of a house or an apartment within an estate called Hammond Way. This option was later rescinded whereupon I was told my needs did not justify being given a house. I objected to being moved to an apartment owing to my previous ordeals & enduring sleepless nights hearing the neighbours' beds bouncing off the floorboards, to say the least, was unsuitable. It was reiterated to the Wales and West Housing Association that my disabilities also made it highly inappropriate for me to reside in an apartment. These objections resulted in my being granted a house. Hammond Way was an utter den of iniquity with such disturbances as thugs attacking old ladies, site supervisors being bullied by the Wales and West Housing Association, widespread damp, heating system failures, general disrepair of paint-work and fittings, my car being vandalised, bricks thrown at my windows and drug-dealers who were under police protection, to name but a few. Solicitor Roger Riley History repeating itself! I contacted solicitor Roger Riley, then of Crowley & Co, City Road, Cardiff, in the vain hope that I might be assisted in moving to suitable accommodation. As was the style of Nigel Jones, this Roger Riley messed me around for four years. In this time he gained only two reports, one by a heating engineer (an former gas board official) and the other by a barrister; these both substantiated my claims. He then moved to a firm called Lloyd & Rowe, also of City Road, Cardiff, without informing me. This left my issue in hanging in stale air for several months. Upon finally calling in person to Crowley & Co I learned of his having jumped ship. An appointment was subsequently booked with Roger Riley at his new firm. At this time I learned Crowley & Co had refused to release my file into the care of Roger Riley, my acting solicitor. An undertaking at Roger Riley's request was granted by me in order that the file held by Crowley & Co would be released. Later that day (unbeknownst to Roger Riley) I called upon the offices of Crowley & Co to iron out my disappointment at their conduct. I then learned from Mike Ford, an ex-policeman in their employ, that Roger Riley ought to have taken my case file with him, as is common practice. Mike promised to have a word with Mr Crowley about sending my file onwards to Roger Riley. It took several weeks to confirm receipt of the file. Once this was confirmed a second appointment with Roger Riley was arranged. At this meeting I was told a second report on the condition of Hammond Way was proving difficult to have commissioned, therefore upon my own initiative I contacted Capital Damp Proofing and arranged for them to supply a report. At a later appointment with Roger Riley I was told proceedings against the Wales and West Housing Association could now be started as that the report from Capital Damp Proofing had been received. Over the passing months it became clear to me that Roger Riley was mishandling these proceedings causing me to transfer the housing matter into the hands of solicitor Richard Payne, then of Evans & Payne, Cardiff, who incidentally was handling my Glamorgan Aluminium matter (more about this later) and other issues. Predictably Roger Riley did not comply with the abundance of requests of him to hand over the files. This left me no choice but to retrieve them in person myself. Thereupon the files were immediately delivered into the hands of Richard Payne. A letter dated 15th November 2000 written by Richard Payne to me states, "...it appears that the only previous notification of complaint was by Lloyd & Rowe's letter to the Wales and West of 12th May 2000..." It is important to note the first request for my files from Roger Riley was in or around April/May 2000. Coincidentally, despite his many promises, Richard Payne failed to advance this matter. ***** From 1996 to 2006, further solicitors were contacted: Morgans solicitors; Rees, Wood & Terry solicitors, each of Cardiff. Documentation will show the illegal suppression of incriminating evidence; collusion and deception committed by each firm and the courts (District Judges Carson and Regan) to illegally award over sixteen thousand pounds in costs against me. During this time the Wales and West Housing Association was given charitable status, our home was illegally entered and my documentation was tampered with. Richard Payne in his letter of 24th August 2001 to the Wales and West Housing Association encloses a letter from Groupama Insurance "...which demonstrates that Groupama Insurances are unable to assist at all." The Wales and West Housing Association nevertheless charges its tenants and leaseholders for insurance. [Doc 12, page 31 subdoc E refers.] Further evidence of deception and the collusion between the courts and solicitors is apparent from a letter dated 2nd October 2002 from the Wales and West Housing Association's acting solicitor, Rebecca Rees of Hugh James, Cardiff, requesting yet another structural survey merely because the existing structural surveys were being suppressed. [Doc 12, page 33 subdoc F] A letter [Doc 12, page 37

subdoc H of one page] dated 13th January 2003 from Hugh James himself acting for the Wales and West Housing Association to my then solicitors Morgans stating he is pleased to note that my solicitors have now agreed to a single joint structural survey. This shows my acting solicitors Morgans actively engaging in the suppression of the existing professional reports, and colluding with Huge James in the unnecessary creating of further reports. To show how far the corruption extends I offer you as evidence a judgement dated 17th June 2005 from the London Court of Appeal. This transcribed judgement is at variance with the judgement delivered orally by the president judge Lord Sedley, specifically in paragraph 8 where the transcription does not reflect his actual words which, to the best of my knowledge were "the only legal recourse that I can give you is appeal to a CIRCUIT judge." [Doc 17 & 18 pages 49 to 54.] Yet another instance of persecution involved bailiffs being sent to my home holding forged judgement papers in an attempt to take possession of my goods. More Shortly

Disability Issue at the taxpayers' expense: 2002 to 2005

On 6th February 1992 at the Cardiff Royal Infirmary I underwent a spinal fusion operation performed by the late David Jenkins, in the cervical area of my spine (viz neck) owing to vast amounts of pain and paralysis of my legs. On 10th February 1993, because of Mr Jenkins' unsuccessful work which left me in more pain than before, I underwent corrective surgery.

The Department for Work and Pensions refused to renew my Disability Living Allowance ("DLA") when it expired in September 2002. The appeal was handled by Cardiff Appeal Services where the solicitor refused to pay heed to the medical evidence presented. However, legal grounds for further appeals were available and pursued. A DLA board approved doctor, Dr Lindsay Elliot, examined me on 11th December 2002 and, in her report stated I was 100% disabled. [LINK TO REPORT, page 17]. Cardiff Appeal Services' solicitors nevertheless refused to renew my DLA commenting the report was "hard to understand."

A further report was filed by a bio-mechanics specialist and sent to my GP's surgery dated 30th July 2003 [Doc 1A]. That report was also ignored and my DLA refused; yet after each costly hearing I had legal grounds for appeal. My papers ended up for decision with the commissioners, whom I informed of this ongoing wilful persecution. I stated it would be published to the public domain on the internet. The following three-page letter dated 25th March 2005 was thereafter received. [Doc 2A]. More shortly.. Other forthcoming issues:

How Riley Mumford & Rausa solicitors gained an access order for my son yet chose not to enforce it. I have not seen my son in over sixteen years.

How Riley Mumford & Rausa solicitors caused me to pay back over £900 to the Benefits Agency which I should not have owed.

How my terminally ill father's quality of life was shortened and estate was plundered by way of a certain firm of solicitors. The Law Society and Office of Supervision of Solicitors

These can best be determined on watching the video on this website made by Joe Dowling, which clearly demonstrates the lawlessness of the Parliament appointed public body charged with the duty of regulating solicitors (who are servants of the Crown.)

Government documentation A-K is part of evidence to be used to demonstrate misconduct in public office.

A. Letter dated 13th September 2005 from the Prime Minister to me, wherein he state that none of this is his responsibility, but that of the Department of Constitutional Affairs and HM Treasury.

B. Letter dated 18th October 2005 from the Department of Constitutional Affairs to me, passing the buck to the Legal Services Commission – (Submitted evidence included complaints of the Legal Services Commission.)

C. Letter dated 8th December 2005 from Liberal Democrat MP Jenny Willott, on her request for copies of undisclosed structural surveys – Being fobbed off with letter and no copies of surveys.

D. Letter dated 12th December 2005 from Law Society to me stating that they see nothing wrong.

E. Letter dated 12th January 2006 from Welsh Secretary of State, stating it is not his responsibility but that of the Welsh Assembly.

F. Letter dated 23rd February 2006 from the Welsh Assembly to me, saying they cannot interfere in ongoing legal issues and despite my complaints informs me to contact the Law Society.

G. Letter dated 20th April 2006 from the Wales Office to me, regarding the Welsh Secretary of State's letter, stating neither the Welsh Secretary of State nor they can comment on individual cases.

H. Letter dated 24th April 2006 from the Welsh Assembly to me, regarding the Deputy Prime Minister's letter who was supposedly in charge of housing issues, and refers my issue to the Welsh Assembly.

I. Letter dated 22th April 2006 from me to the Crown Prosecution Service informing them of intentions to issue proceedings against certain government officials for their misconduct in public office.

J. Letter dated 22th April 2006 from Mr Christopher Woolley of the Crown Prosecution Service to me, informing me that these issues are of a civil nature, including their advice/warning on any given intentions to prosecute the government.

K. Letter dated 15th May 2006 from chief witness Roger Taylor, for the Wales and West Housing Association.

This is just a small portion of the documentation which shows the Government concern of the persecution of the shitizens and their concern for wastage of tax payers' money.

Please Note – I leave you with a copy of the latest letter (K) dated 15th May 2006 from chief witness Roger Taylor, for the Wales and West Housing Association to me which shows their contempt of the law. His comment, “Stating there is no housing disrepair,” can be weighed in comparison with the above mentioned film of Hillside Court.

Further enclosed are copies of new insurance, yet as with the previous structural surveys, no previous insurance cover has ever been disclosed, despite many requests.

Twenty years of solicitors taking tax payers' money in Legal Aid and all my documented evidence being ignored by my solicitors, the courts, and various other bodies. The misuse of tax payers' money and wilful persecution by this government.

Author: Paul J Ronald